

October 23, 2024

Via Ariba internet posting
(3 Pages)

ADDENDUM No. 5
REQUEST FOR TENDER ARIBA Doc4744062042
CLOSING DATE (REVISED): 12:00 NOON (LOCAL TIME), October 30, 2024

**For: Renovations to the Existing Occupied TSSS Family Residence, Located at 4222
Kingston Road, Toronto**

Please refer to the above Tender Call document in your possession and be advised of the following information:

1. QUESTIONS

Q1 – In response to answer 11 in addendum 3, please confirm as the neighboring property is aware but the GC is to coordinate that for the sake of the estimate it can be assumed that equipment and material can be accessed through their property and through the fence (that is to be replaced) as the cost will vary if we are required to access the site solely through the corridor.

A1 – For now, assume that you're accessing the site solely through the corridor.

Q2 – In response to answer 12 and 13 please confirm that our direct work areas will be fully left to the contractor and hoarding will only be required to ensure the occupants do not access our site, the sites are not to be provided back to the facility every night.

A2 – The work area will be left to the GCs and the site will not be provided back to the facility every night, however occupants require access to their suites.

Q3 – If possible a number of our subcontractors were looking for an extension on this project to the following week to ensure the best possible pricing

A3 – Call was extended as per Addendum 4 to October 30, 2024.

Q4 – Drawing E-0.1 shows a Fan Schedule, and I wonder if you want me to include it in my price or this is something you manage on your own.

A4 – The exhaust fan should be included in the base price.

Q5 – We are requesting a phasing plan or the parameters/constraints that we'll be required to accommodate for us to develop a phasing plan. This is required for us to develop a schedule to determine our general conditions costs, number of mobilizations required as well as the costs associated with temporary controls required to facilitate ongoing client operations. The response - "to be coordinated with client" after award is not good enough. We are unfamiliar with the site, their operations, site specific constraints, etc., in order for the City of Toronto to maintain

operations during renovations. Please address the question and provide some insight on how this will need to be phased to accommodate the COT.

A5 – Area 1 needs to be phased to allow staff to always operate. Start work in the office spaces between GL15 and 16 – these staff will be relocated into existing offices from GL9 to 15.

Area 2 and 3 do not require phasing.

Area 4 requires notifying residents when work can be done in the corridors and ensuring at least one exit stairwell is always available.

Q6 – Question #14; regarding Union trades. Per the City of Toronto “Voluntary Recognition Agreement with Labourers’ International Union of North America (LiUNA)” – exemptions:

- o any projects under \$400,000 (to be adjusted annually for inflation)
- o playgrounds
- o playscapes
- o splash pads
- o landscaping within parks
- o sports infrastructure within parks
- o hardscaping

Response provided notes that union trades are required for the construction of the exterior playground and hardscaping which contradicts the exemptions provided above. Please provide clarification as to why this project would be an exemption to the exemptions.

A6 – Bidders must carry union trades.

Q7 – Question #12; regarding hoarding. No information provided, referencing a spec that notes that we are to coordinate with the City. Again, these need to be answered as we will be conducting this work within an occupied facility and imperative to determine temporary controls and schedule. Please provide the constraints in which we are to navigate to maintain COT operations during renovations if unable to provide hoarding plan

A7 – Occupants require access to their rooms.

Q8 – Question #11; the work requires us to access the neighboring property. Answer notes that the owner of the neighboring property is aware of the landscaping work. We don’t know what this means? Are they permitting us to access their property to facilitate this work? If unable to provide additional information, could you please let us know what to assume and/or contact information for owner of neighboring property?

A8 – Currently, plan for access through the corridor only.

Q9 – Question #8 – “Contractor to include an allowance for additional re/re of devices not captured on drawings”. How are we to include an allowance for the unknown; need to know what we are assuming? 1 device, 2, 3, 4...? Would suggest a cash allowance be added for unknown site conditions.

A9 – Tender documents were issued prior to the mandatory site walkthrough. Per spec 01 11 00, 1.11 Existing Site Conditions and understanding that this is a renovation project, the site walkthrough was the opportunity for contractor to identify these conditions. Additional re/re of wireless access points not captured in drawings were included in Addendum 02.

Q10 – All the concrete surfacing is shown as existing in the parking lot and for the sidewalk around the playground area but there is a note in the legend about proposed concrete surfacing and that pattern is shown across all that concrete that is shown both on the existing and new, are you able

to confirm if we are providing this new concrete? Or if that detail is irrelevant, we understand there is work beside the sidewalk for the trench drain.

A10 – All existing concrete surfaces are to remain and to be protected. Proposed concrete is limited to the curb surrounding the poured-in-place rubberized play surface, concrete surrounding the trench drain, concrete footings for the wood privacy fence, and any concrete footings required for the play equipment.

Q11 – For Pure Pave Surface, please specify the colour of granite.

A11 – Colour of Pure Pave Surface to be Grey Dolomite Granite noted on detail 4/LP-5.

Q12 – Tile Selection for Specific Areas:

As per DIV 9 - Section 09 30 00 - TILE - Products (2.1.3), two porcelain floor tile products have been specified: La Riviera by CENTURA and Keystones by DAL TILE. Could you confirm which of these should be used for the following areas?

A12 – Riviera and Keystones with Regal Series by Olympia Tile for POR tile throughout (or equivalent).

Q13 – Suitability of La Riviera Tile for Flooring:

The same section mentions La Riviera by CENTURA as a full-body porcelain tile recommended for flooring. However, based on the manufacturer's description, this tile is glossy and typically used for walls. Could you confirm if La Riviera is indeed intended for flooring in this project, or if an alternative should be considered?

A13 – La Riviera by CENTURA (or equivalent) will be used as CER tile throughout. It should replace Colour and Dimension Series in the spec.

Please continue to monitor this procurement as further extensions or possible cancellation may occur. Please see www.toronto.ca/covid19 for more information on the City's response. Should you have any questions regarding this addendum send via the event message board or contact Max Parker by email at Max.Parker@toronto.ca.

Bidders must acknowledge receipt of all addenda on the space provided on the submission form as per the Process Terms and Conditions, Part 1.7 - Addenda, of the RFT document. All other aspects of the RFT remain the same.

Sincerely,

Francesco McGrillis

Francesco McGrillis, Manager Purchasing Client Services
Purchasing & Materials Management Division